


DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

November 7, 2002

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
445 Twelfth Street, SW, TW-A325
Washington, D.C. 20554



Rc: Petition for Rule Making (MB Docket No. 02-287)
Supporting Coinments for Stuart, Oklahoma

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Enclosed is an original and four (4) copies each of Petitioner's Comments for Stuart, Oklahoma.

Kespectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "R Fabian".

Robert Fabian
4 Hickory Crossing Lane
Argyle, TX 76226
(940) 241-1204 Telc

Enclosed are 0+4.
USE ABOVE

In the Matter of)
)
Amendment of 73.202 (b))
Table of Allotments)
FM Broadcast Stations)
(Stuart, OK))

NOV 13 2002
FBI - MEMPHIS

2. **As** the Commission is aware, this is one of a number of petitions filed by this Petitioner and other like-minded petitioners. The purpose of filing such petitions is to seek allotments to relatively small communities which are sufficiently removed from major metropolitan areas that there is no prospect that the allotment is merely a step to Inaugurate still another facility serving a major broadcast market. These petitions seek

allotments to relatively small communities in their own right. For reasons stated above, the instant petition merits the requested allotment under established principles in Section 307(b) of the Communications Act.

3. The filing of a number of similar petitions has its genesis in the recent development in radio broadcasting of Localized Network Programming. This is a concept that has been developed by the major broadcasters for essentially mid to major markets. It is an approach that provides the economy of a network but the feel and appearance of local programming. The belief of the Petitioner and like-minded petitioners is that such a plan will work with small market communities on a regional basis. Given a geographical area, such as Eastern Oklahoma, Western Oklahoma, North East Texas, West Texas, South Texas, etc., the licensees of FM stations in small communities of a given region – whether the petitioners themselves or other licensees who might successfully outbid them for the license – could initiate unique network programming designed specifically for that region and additionally provide localized community inserts, such as local weather, local events, programming from specific remote locations, regional-specific news, public affairs, public service announcements, promotions and other programming giving life to the commission's policy favoring localism in broadcasting. While there would be local sales, the focus would also be in regional network sales, drawing on the advantages of advertising to the region and the unique nature of the region.

4. The vision is that providing radio service to an area of, say, 5,000 people may not allow for the operation of a traditionally run radio station. However, a network of stations in an identifiable geographic area covering, say, 50,000 people could very

well be economically viable. This concept is an approach to providing radio service to clusters of stations in small communities in the nature of modern radio developed by the major group owners for mid and large markets. Such a concept – in lieu of allotting the frequencies to communities in or adjacent to major radio markets – provides a further public interest reason in support of the Petition under Section 307(b).

5. Should this petition be granted, and Channel 228A be allotted to Stuart, Oklahoma, Petitioner will apply for Channel 228A, and after it is authorized, will promptly construct the new facility.

The factual information provided in these Comments is correct and true to the best of my knowledge.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert Fabian
4 Hickory Crossing Lane
Argyle, TX 76226
(940) 241-1204 Tele

cc: Gene A. Bechtel, Law Office of Gene Bechtel, suite 600, 1050 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, telephone (202) 496-1289, telecopier (301) 762-0156, attorney for the Petitioner. It is requested that the Commission and any parties who may file pleadings in the captioned matter serve copies to Mr. Bechtel as well as the Petitioner.

November 7, 2002

RFS Stuartcom